

REMARKS

In the **non-final** Office Action mailed January 21, 2010, the **non-final** Office noted that claims 25-47 were pending and rejected claims 25-47. In this amendment claims 25-27, 44 and 47 have been amended, no claims have been canceled, and, thus, in view of the foregoing, claims 25-47 remain pending for reconsideration which is requested. No new matter has been added. The Office's rejections are traversed below.

REJECTIONS under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 25 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Moriconi, U.S. Patent No. 5,262,759. The Applicants respectfully disagree and traverse the rejection with an argument and amendment.

Moriconi discusses a portable computer accepting removable modular display panels of different types.

Claim 25 has been amended to recite ***"the second device is installed in a mobile unit, the second device includes a position detecting unit configured to detect a current position of the mobile unit,*** when the portable information processor is connected to the first device, the acquiring unit acquires first identification information that is the device identification information of the first device, and first acquired information that is the other information acquired from the first device, and the storage unit stores the first acquired information

and map information, when the portable information processor is connected to the second device, the acquiring unit acquires second identification information that **includes navigation information that** is the device identification information of the second device and second acquired information that is the other information **including position information that indicates the current position of the mobile unit**, the generating unit generates second operation information that **includes navigation information that** is the operation information of the second device executable by the second device, based on the second identification information, the second acquired information, the first acquired information stored in the storage unit **and the map information.**" (Emphasis added)

Support for the Amendment may be found, for example in claim 27. The Applicants submit that no new matter is believed to have been added by the amendment of claim 25. Claim 26 has been amended in a similar manner as claim 25.

Moriconi fails to disclose that navigation information is generated and the second device is controlled based on the navigation information.

Further, on page 4 it is noted by the Office "while Moriconi does not specifically state that the first device information is used in creation of the operation information, basic knowledge of display adaptors at the time of the invention lends obviousness to this use for compatibility in output.

Specifically in interchanging display adaptors, choosing a resolution supported by both devices while operating would help support hot plugging or multi-screen display configurations (both of these configurations are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and widely used at the time of the invention.)"

The Applicants respectfully disagree, no evidence has been provided that proves "both of these configurations are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and widely used at the time of the invention." If documents with the published date that support such a statement are available, Applicants request that such documents be provided.

For at least the reasons discussed above, Moriconi fails to render obvious the features of claims 25 and 26.

Claims 25-31, 34-36 and 38-47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Hale, U.S. Patent No. 5,938,709. The Applicants respectfully disagree and traverse the rejection with an argument and amendment.

However, the Applicants note that the memory card 114 of the Hale reference does not correspond to the "portable information processor" of the independent claims of the present application.

For example, claim 25 recites, among other things, "a generating unit ... to generate operation information indicative of an operation executed by the first device and the second device." Hale does not state that the memory card generates any

information.

Further, at the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have thought that a memory card would generate information. Thus, the memory card of the Hale reference does not possess the "generating unit." The other independent claims recite similar features.

For at least the reasons discussed above, Hale fails to render obvious the features of claims 27, 35, 36, 38, 42-44 and 47 and the claims dependent therefrom.

Claims 32, 33 and 37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Hale in view of Kohli, U.S. Patent No. 6,041,280. The Applicants respectfully disagree and traverse the rejection with an argument.

Kohli adds nothing to the deficiencies of Hale as applied against the independent claims. Therefore, for at least the reasons discussed above, Hale and Kohli, taken separately or in combination, fail to render obvious claims 32, 33 and 37.

Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

SUMMARY

It is submitted that the claims satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 103. It is also submitted that claims 25-47 continue to be allowable. It is further submitted that the claims are not taught, disclosed or suggested by the prior art. The claims are therefore in a condition suitable for

allowance. An early Notice of Allowance is requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 25-0120 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON

/James J. Livingston, Jr./

James J. Livingston, Jr.
Reg. No. 55,394
209 Madison St, Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone (703) 521-2297
Telefax (703) 685-0573
(703) 979-4709

JJL/lrs